

Aercoustics Engineering Ltd. 1004 Middlegate Road, Suite 1100 Fax 416-249-3613 Mississauga, ON L4Y 1M4

Tel: 416-249-3361 aercoustics.com

ASSESSMENT REPORT - Project: 16227.00

## **Niagara Region Wind Farm Project** Acoustic Immission Audit – Phase 1

Prepared for:

1021702 B.C. Ltd as general partner for and behalf of FWRN L.P. 36 rue Lajeunesse **Kinsgey Falls Quebec** J0A 1B0

Prepared by:

A-MONTO

Allan Munro, B.A.Sc.

Payam Ashtiani, B.A.Sc., P.Eng.

19 July 2018

# **Table of Contents**

List	of Tables	3
List	of Figures	3
List	of Appendices	4
1	Introduction	6
2	Facility Description	6
3	Audit Details	6
3.1	Test Equipment	7
3.2	Measurement Methodology	8
3.3	Measurement Location	10
3.4	Sample size Reporting Requirements	11
3.4.1	RAM-I Sample Size Requirements	11
3.5	Weather Conditions	12
3.6	Operational Conditions	12
4	Sound Level Limits	13
5	Audit Results	13
6	Discussion	18
6.1	Overall Sound Level	18
6.2	Tonality	22
7	Assessment of Compliance	23
8	Conclusion	23
9	References	23

## **List of Tables**

Table 1: Monitoring Period for Each Receptor	6
Table 2: Equipment Details	8
Table 3: Receptor Measurement Locations	11
Table 4: MOECP Sound Level Limits for Wind Turbines	13
Table 5: M1153 Sound levels measured for Turbine ON and OFF	13
Table 6: M2705 Sound levels measured for Turbine ON and OFF	14
Table 7: M1602 Sound levels measured for Turbine ON and OFF	14
Table 8: M0085 Sound levels measured for Turbine ON and OFF	14
Table 9: M0616 Sound levels measured for Turbine ON and OFF	15
Table 10: Assessment Table	19

# **List of Figures**

Figure 2: M2705 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind Speed	Figure 1: M1153 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind Speed	.15
Figure 3: M1602 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind Speed	Figure 2: M2705 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind	
Figure 4: M0616 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind       17         Speed       17         Figure 5: M0085 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind       17         Speed       17         Figure 6: M1153 Turbine Levels compared to MOECP Limits       20         Figure 7: M2705 Turbine Levels compared to MOECP Limits       20         Figure 8: M1602 Turbine Levels compared to MOECP Limits       21	Figure 3: M1602 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind	
Figure 5: M0085 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind Speed	Figure 4: M0616 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind	
Figure 6: M1153 Turbine Levels compared to MOECP Limits	Figure 5: M0085 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind	
Figure 8: M1602 Turbine Levels compared to MOECP Limits	Figure 6: M1153 Turbine Levels compared to MOECP Limits	.20
Ų I	Ų I	
	Ų I	

### **List of Appendices**

Appendix A – Location Details Figure A.1 – Site Plan Figure A.2 – Monitor and Receptor Location – M1153 Figure A.3 – Monitor and Receptor Location – M2705 Figure A.4 – Monitor and Receptor Location – M1602 Figure A.5 – Monitor and Receptor Location – M0616 Figure A.6 – Monitor and Receptor Location – M0085 Figure A.7 – Site Photo – M1153 Figure A.8 – Site Photo – M2705 Figure A.9 – Site Photo – M1602 Figure A.10 – Site Photo – M0616 Figure A.11 – Site Photo – M0085

Appendix B – Wind Rose

Figure B.1 – Wind Rose – M1153 Figure B.2 – Wind Rose – M2705 Figure B.3 – Wind Rose – M1602 Figure B.4 – Wind Rose – M0616 Figure B.5 – Wind Rose – M0085

- Appendix C Statement from Operator
- Appendix D Summary of Tonality Assessment
- Appendix E Turbine Status
- Appendix F Receptor Selection Rationale
- Appendix G Calibration Records
- Appendix H I-Audit Checklist
- Appendix I M1153 Distance Correction Calculation

### **Executive Summary**

Aercoustics Engineering Limited ("Aercoustics") has been retained by 1021702 B.C. Ltd as general partner for and on behalf of FWRN L.P. to complete the acoustic immission audit outlined in the Renewable Energy Approval ("REA") for the Niagara Region Wind Farm ("NRWF"). NRWF operates under REA #4353-9HMP2R, issued on November 6, 2014.

This report details the 1st measurement campaign of the NRWF immission audit. Monitoring near receptors O1153, V2705, O1602, O0616 and O0085 spanned the following dates:

Location	Monitoring Start Date	Monitoring End Date	Monitoring Duration (weeks)
M1153	February 26, 2018	May 8, 2018	10
M2705	February 26, 2018	May 8, 2018	10
M1602	February 26, 2018	June 6, 2018	14
M0616	March 1, 2018	June 6, 2018	14
M0085	February 26, 2018	April 30, 2018	9

The audit has been completed as per the methodology outlined in Parts D and E5.5 RAM-I (Revised Assessment Methodology) of the "*MOECP Compliance Protocol for Wind Turbine Noise*" (Updated: April 21, 2017).

The measured turbine-only noise impact at the audit locations was compared to the Ministry of Environment Conservation and Parks ("MOECP") sound level limits. The measured turbine-only levels were found to be in compliance with the applicable sound level limits at receptors O1153, V2705, M1602 and M0085 during the audit.

Insufficient data was collected at receptor O0616 despite an extended monitoring campaign. As per Part E5.2 of the protocol the audit results of two measurement campaigns will be combined to fulfil the minimum required sample size at this location. Measurements are expected to continue in the Fall to complete the first audit at O0616 and commence the second required audit at all receptors.

aercoustics

### 1 Introduction

Aercoustics Engineering Limited ("Aercoustics") has been retained by 1021702 B.C. Ltd as general partner for and on behalf of FWRN L.P. to complete the acoustic immission audit outlined in the Renewable Energy Approval ("REA") for the Niagara Region Wind Farm ("NRWF"). NRWF operates under REA #4353-9HMP2R, issued on November 6, 2014, further modified on November 23, 2015, May 6, 2016 and May 12, 2016 [1].

The report has been prepared to facilitate submission to the MOECP, in compliance with acoustic audit conditions outlined in the facility's REA (#4353-9HMP2R) section E (Wind Turbine Acoustic Audit – Immission). The audit has been completed as per the methodology outlined in Parts D and E5.5 RAM-I (Revised Assessment Methodology) of the Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks "*MOECP Compliance Protocol for Wind Turbine Noise*" (Updated: April 21, 2017). This report outlines the measurement methodology, results, and a comparison of the turbine-only sound contribution to the MOECP sound level limits.

## 2 Facility Description

The Niagara Region Wind Farm Project utilizes 77 Enercon turbines (Model E 101) wind turbines for power generation, each having a nameplate capacity ranging from of 2.9MW and 3.0MW respectively. Each turbine has a hub height of 124 meters and a rotor diameter of 101 meters. The facility operates 24 hours per day, 7 days per week.

An overall site plan is provided in Figure A.01.

## 3 Audit Details

The acoustic audit was conducted at receptors O1153, V2705, O1602, O0616 and O0085<sup>1</sup>. Monitoring at M1153, M2705, M1602, M0616 and MO0085 spanned the following dates, summarized in Table 1.

Location	Monitoring Start Date	Monitoring End Date	Monitoring Duration (weeks)
M1153	February 26, 2018	May 8, 2018	10
M2705	February 26, 2018	May 8, 2018	10
M1602	February 26, 2018	June 6, 2018	14
M0616	March 1, 2018	June 6, 2018	14
M0085	February 26, 2018	April 30, 2018	9

Table 1: Monitoring Period for Each Receptor



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Receptor IDs taken from the Noise Assessment Report by K. Ganesh and K. Mallinen, dated April 08, 2016 [3]

The following sections detail the test equipment, measurement methodology, measurement locations, and environmental conditions during the audit.

#### 3.1 **Test Equipment**

The equipment, both acoustic and non-acoustic, used at each audit location for the measurement campaign is as follows.

- One (1) Type 1 sound level meter, with microphone and pre-amplifier that meet the MOECP protocol specifications outlined in Part D, Section D2.1 Acoustic Instrumentation.
- One (1) primary and one (1) secondary windscreen for the microphone. The 1/3 octave band insertion loss of the secondary windscreen has been tested and was accounted for in the data analysis.
- One (1) anemometer programmed to sample weather data every 0.5 seconds. The anemometer was located 10m above grade, as defined by Section D3.4. Performance specifications comply with Part D, Section D.2.2 of the MOECP protocol.

The following table lists the specific model and serial numbers for the equipment used during the measurement campaign.

#### Table 2: Equipment Details

Location	Equipment	Serial Number
	NI9234 Data Acquisition Card	1C009C6
	PCB 480E09 Signal Conditioner	34590
M1153	PCB 377B02 Microphone	166109 (126059)
	PCB 426E01 Pre-Amplifier	044442
	Vaisala WXT 520	M4910199
	NI9234 Data Acquisition Card	1C009D0
	PCB 480E09 Signal Conditioner	34591
M2705	PCB 377B02 Microphone	155181 (122654)
	PCB 426E01 Pre-Amplifier	040835
	Vaisala WXT 520	M4910197
	NI9234 Data Acquisition Card	19A4D6B
	PCB 480E09 Signal Conditioner	32445
M1602	PCB 377B02 Microphone	166320 (125634)
	PCB 426E01 Pre-Amplifier	043992
	Vaisala WXT 520	M4910200
	NI9234 Data Acquisition Card	1C0AFB2
	PCB 480E09 Signal Conditioner	34593
M0616	PCB 377B02 Microphone	150759 (118497)
	PCB 426E01 Pre-Amplifier	037483
	Vaisala WXT 520	M4910198
	NI9234 Data Acquisition Card	1C009CC
	PCB 480E09 Signal Conditioner	34594
M0085	PCB 377B02 Microphone	155253 (120586)
	PCB 426E01 Pre-Amplifier	039195
	Vaisala WXT 520	M4910193

The sound level meter, microphone, and pre-amplifier were calibrated before and after the measurement campaign using a type 4231 Brüel & Kjær acoustic calibrator.

#### 3.2 Measurement Methodology

For the duration of the measurement campaign, acoustic and anemometer data was logged simultaneously in one-minute intervals. The acoustic data included A-weighted overall equivalent sound levels ( $LA_{eq}$ ), percentile statistical levels (L90), and 1/3 octave band levels between 20 Hz and 20,000 Hz. The microphone was placed at a measurement height of 4.5 m above grade (All receptors were modeled using a height of 4.5m, this is considered the worst-case assessment location for a second-storey residence), at least 5

metres away from any large reflecting surfaces, in direct line of sight to the nearest turbines, and as far away as practically possible from trees or other foliage. The recorded weather data included average wind direction, wind speed, temperature, relative humidity, and atmospheric pressure. The maximum wind speed for each one-minute interval was also stored to filter the data for wind gusting.

To account for the effect of wind speed on the measured sound level, measurement intervals are sorted into integer wind bins based on the measured 10 m wind speeds. Each bin ranges from 0.5 m/s below to 0.5 m/s above each respective wind bin (i.e. 5 m/s wind bin represents all intervals with average wind speeds between 4.5 m/s and 5.5 m/s).

A one-minute measurement interval was considered valid if:

- The interval occurred between 10pm 5am
- No precipitation was detected 60 minutes before and 6 minutes after the interval
- The ambient temperature was above -10°C
- Either all nearby turbines were on (for Turbine ON measurements), or all nearby turbines were off (for ambient measurements). The list of turbines parked for ambient measurements is provided in Section 3.6.
- The measured LA<sub>eq</sub> was no more than 10 dB greater than the L90 value
- The closest wind turbine was producing approximately 85% or more of its rated power output
- The measurement location was downwind (+/- 45 degrees from the line of sight between the turbine and measurement location) from the wind turbine during the measurement interval

These filters are based on the requirements outlined in Part D of the Protocol as well as the measurement equipment specifications. The intention is to exclude measurement intervals where the data reliability is reduced due to transient noise intrusions (such as vehicle pass-bys), environmental conditions, or equipment operating outside of its specifications.

Contamination of the data due to the presence of insects and frogs (spring peepers) was noted in data collected after April 30<sup>th</sup>, 2018. The acoustic energy from frogs was present from above 1500Hz and insects were present from above 2000Hz, depending on the monitor location, and dominated the overall level for both Turbine ON and ambient measurements. The frequency ranges used for this filter was determined based on site-specific conditions to discount the effect of the contaminated insect and frog noise.

#### 3.3 Measurement Location

Receptors O1153, V2705, O1602, O0616, and O0085 were chosen to be representative of the worst-case impact of the facility. These locations were chosen based on the MOECP selection requirements communicated in the NRWF REA. Please see Appendix F for an outline of the selection criteria and process. All five receptors are located in the predominant downwind direction of the facility. O1153, V2705, O1602, O0616, and O0085 have a predicted impact of 39.9 dBA, 39.7 dBA, 39.5 dBA, 39.7 dBA and 39.5 dBA respectively, as per level predicted from an "As Built" noise model based on the original CadnaA noise prediction model. The following describes the measurement locations in relation to the above listed receptors:

- M1153: Measurement equipment was placed on the open lawn on the property of and to the west of O1153, 428 m to the nearest turbine (T20), on the west side of Bird Road. The predicted level based on the acoustic model at M1153 is 41.6 dBA. Monitor M1153 was erected in a location that was closer to the wind project than their representative receptor due to site specific setup limitations (trees, pond and horse enclosure). The effect of the closer measurement location is a shorter source-to-receiver distance to the closest turbines and therefore a higher sound level from the turbines at the monitor location compared to the receptor location.
- M2705: Measurement equipment was placed on the vacant lot property of V2705, 603 m to the nearest turbine (T04), on the north side of Concession Road Four. The predicted level based on the acoustic model at M2705 is 39.7 dBA.
- M1602: Measurement equipment was placed in an open field on the property of and to the west of O1602, 539 m to the closest turbine (T28), on the west side of Comfort Road. The predicted level based on the acoustic model at M1602 is 39.7 dBA.
- M0616: Measurement equipment was placed in an open field on the property of and to west of O0616, 592 m to the closest turbine (T93), on the south side of Concession Road Four. The predicted level based on the acoustic model at M0616 is 39.8 dBA.
- M0085: Measurement equipment was placed in an open field on the property of and to the west of O0085, 540 m to the closest turbine (T08), on the south side of Concession Road Three. The predicted level based on the acoustic model at M0085 is 39.7 dBA.

The following table provides a summary of the receptor locations. Detailed site plans showing the receptor and audit locations are attached in Appendix A.



	Audit Receptor ID Nearest Turbine ID	M1153 T20	M2705 T04	M1602 T28	M0616 T93	M0085 T08
Receptor	UTM Coordinates (X,Y)	17T 621067mE 4749725mN	17T 627870mE 4768233mN	17T 622682mE 4769629mN	17T 618600mE 4767679mN	17T 614752mE 4765425mN
	Distance to Nearest Turbine	584m	603m	558m	617m	554m
	Predicted Level dBA*	39.9	39.7	39.5	39.7	39.5
	UTM Coordinates	17T	17T	17T	17T	17T
	(X,Y)	620902mE	627870mE	622530mE	618528mE	614682mE
Monitor	(太,1)	4749669mN	4768233mN	4769635mN	4767683mE	4765434mN
	Distance to Nearest Turbine	428m	603m	539m	592m	540m
	Predicted Level dBA**	41.6	39.7	39.7	39.8	39.7

#### Table 3: Receptor Measurement Locations

\* Predicted level from Sound Level Prediction Results, [Modified Model for As-built] 77 WTGs – Stantec [3] \*\* Predicted level from Aercoustics' acoustic model

#### 3.4 Sample size Reporting Requirements

As per Section D3.8 of the MOECP protocol, at least 120 data points in each wind bin are required for Turbine ON measurements, and 60 data points for the ambient measurements between 4-7 m/s integer wind speeds inclusively (10m height).

The Revised Assessment Methodology for I-Audits (RAM-I) may allow for a lower amount of data points to be used in the analysis, provided that the quality of data remains high. RAM-I analysis was conducted as per Section 5.5 of the Protocol. This methodology is employed in cases where insufficient data is collected despite sound monitoring lasting longer than 6 weeks.

#### 3.4.1 RAM-I Sample Size Requirements

The RAM-I assessment methodology reduces the sample size requirements, the Protocol states:

"The Ministry may accept a reduced number of data points for each wind speed bin with appropriate justification. [...] The acceptable number of data points will be influenced by the quality of the data (standard deviation)" {Section E 5.5 (5)}

The threshold of 60 data points for Turbine ON measurements and 30 data points for Turbine OFF measurements is used in this assessment.

The range of wind bins which may be used to assess compliance is expanded to include a minimum of one of the following conditions as outlined in Section E 5.5(1):

- a. "Three (3) of the wind speed bins between 1 and 7 m/s (inclusive), or
- b. Two (2) of the wind speed bins between 1 and 4 m/s (inclusive)"

The RAM-I sample size requirement of 60 data points for Turbine ON and 30 data points for the ambient measurements for 3 wind speed bins has been satisfied for receptors O1153, V2705 and O1602 in wind speed bins between 1 and 7 m/s.

The RAM-I sample size requirement of 60 data points for Turbine ON and 30 data points for ambient measurements for 2 wind speed bins has been satisfied for receptor O0085 in wind speed bins between 1 and 4 m/s

Despite the extended duration (3 months) of the acoustic monitoring campaign the minimum reporting requirements (as per Part E5.5 of the protocol) has not been fulfilled at receptor measurement locations O\_616. Acoustic measurements at this location has been more challenging due to less prevalent downwind conditions during the audit period and contamination from extraneous sources (animal activity, road traffic) during downwind conditions and high electrical power output.

#### 3.5 Weather Conditions

Ambient conditions encountered over the measurement campaign were as follows:

- Ambient Humidity: 24% to 97%
- Ambient Temperature: -7°C to 27°C
- 10m Wind Speed: 0 m/s to 25 m/s

Historically, the predominant wind direction is from the southwest for this site. The wind direction varied over the course of the audit campaign. Wind roses have been provided in Appendix B that show the measured 10 m height wind directions and wind speeds at each receptor for valid Turbine ON and Ambient measurement intervals. Wind directions shown on the wind roses indicate the direction the wind is coming from.

#### 3.6 **Operational Conditions**

Turbine operational data for the duration of the measurement campaign was supplied by NRWF. Measurement data at each receptor was filtered to include only intervals when all turbines in the immediate vicinity were operational, or, in the case of the ambient noise measurements, were not operational. The turbines included in this study were chosen such that when they are turned off, the partial impact of the remaining turbines was less than 30dBA; 10dB below the sound level limit. The specific turbines parked for ambient measurements were T4, T5, T8 T20, T27, T28, T52, T53, T56, T58, T62, T63, T66, T85, T93, T94, T96, and T99.

### 4 Sound Level Limits

The purpose of the sound measurements was to confirm whether the sound emitted by the wind facility is in compliance with the MOECP allowable sound level limits. The MOECP sound level limits for wind turbines vary with wind speed defined at a 10 m height. The details of the sound level limits are presented in Table 4 below.

Table 4: MOECP Sound Level Limits for Wind Turbines

Wind speed at 10m height [m/s]	MOECP Sound level limit [dBA]
≤ 4	40
5	40
6	40
7	43

As per section D6 of the MOECP Protocol, if the background sound levels are greater than the applicable exclusion limits then the applicable limits are the background sound levels without extraneous noise sources.

### 5 Audit Results

The following tables detail the sound levels measured at all five receptors when all the nearby turbines were on (Turbine ON) and when all the nearby turbines were off (Turbine OFF). Wind bins which satisfy the RAM-I sample size requirements are highlighted in grey in Tables 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9. The Turbine ON sound level presented was filtered such that only data when the closest turbine was generating 85% power or greater and the receptor was in a downwind condition from the closest turbine was included.

Wind Speed at	Turbine ON			Turbine OFF			Turbine
10m Height	Number of	LAeq	Std Dev	Number of	LAeq	Std Dev	ONLY*
(m/s)	Samples	[dBA]	[dBA]	Samples	[dBA]	[dBA]	ONLI
0	17	*	*	26	*	*	*
1	51	*	*	209	27	2.7	*
2	51	*	*	621	30	3.1	*
3	94	42	0.5	834	30	2.8	40
4	266	42	0.7	568	32	2.5	40
5	147	43	0.5	412	35	2.2	40
6	34	*	*	217	41	2.4	*
7	2	*	*	84	46	2.3	*

Table 5: M1153 Sound levels measured for Turbine ON and OFF

\*Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

\*\*Turbine ONLY levels are given a distance correction (-1.7dB); Refer to Section 5.1 for more detail.

Page	14
i ugo	1 -

Turbine ON			Turbine OFF			Turbine
Number of	LAeq	Std Dev	Number of	LAeq	Std Dev	ONLY
Samples	[dBA]	[dBA]	Samples	[dBA]	[dBA]	UNLI
0	*	*	109	31	3.7	*
9	*	*	291	29	3.9	*
54	*	*	344	29	4.6	*
137	41	0.5	421	30	3.9	40
168	41	0.6	426	33	3.4	40
60	42	1.0	246	38	3.0	39
47	*	*	62	43	2.3	*
21	*	*	2	*	*	*
	Number of Samples 0 9 54 137 168 60 47	Number of Samples         LAeq [dBA]           0         *           9         *           54         *           137         41           168         41           60         42           47         *	Number of Samples         LAeq [dBA]         Std Dev [dBA]           0         *         *           9         *         *           54         *         *           137         41         0.5           168         41         0.6           60         42         1.0           47         *         *	Number of SamplesLAeq [dBA]Std Dev [dBA]Number of Samples0**1099**29154**344137410.5421168410.642660421.024647**62	Number of SamplesLAeq [dBA]Std Dev [dBA]Number of SamplesLAeq [dBA]0**109319**2912954**34429137410.542130168410.64263360421.02463847**6243	Number of SamplesLAeq [dBA]Std Dev [dBA]Number of SamplesLAeq [dBA]Std Dev [dBA]0**109313.79**291293.954**344294.6137410.5421303.9168410.6426333.460421.0246383.047**62432.3

#### Table 6: M2705 Sound levels measured for Turbine ON and OFF

\*Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

#### Table 7: M1602 Sound levels measured for Turbine ON and OFF

Wind Speed at	Turbine ON			Turbine OFF			Turbine
10m Height	Number of	LAeq	Std Dev	Number of	LAeq	Std Dev	ONLY
(m/s)	Samples	[dBA]	[dBA]	Samples	[dBA]	[dBA]	
0	0	*	*	160	38	7.3	*
1	0	*	*	290	37	6.7	*
2	0	*	*	304	38	6.4	*
3	8	*	*	253	39	6.0	*
4	74	42	0.8	382	37	4.2	40
5	103	43	1.0	345	39	2.9	40
6	92	44	1.5	121	43	2.2	39‡
7	13	*	*	59	48	1.4	*

\*Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol ‡ Higher uncertainty on calculated Turbine ONLY levels in cases where the measured ambient sound level (Turbine OFF) is within 1 dB of the measured Turbine ON level

#### Table 8: M0085 Sound levels measured for Turbine ON and OFF

Wind Speed at	Turbine ON			Tur	Turbine		
10m Height	Number of	LAeq	Std Dev	Number of	LAeq	Std Dev	ONLY
(m/s)	Samples	[dBA]	[dBA]	Samples	[dBA]	[dBA]	ONLI
0	7	*	*	26	*	*	*
1	76	41	0.4	127	31	3.9	40
2	117	41	0.4	242	30	3.2	40
3	28	*	*	73	31	3.4	*
4	4	*	*	43	33	2.9	*
5	1	*	*	47	35	2.3	*
6	0	*	*	2	*	*	*
7	0	*	*	0	*	*	*

\*Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

Wind Speed at	Turbine ON			Tur	Turbine		
10m Height	Number of	LAeq	Std Dev	Number of	LAeq	Std Dev	ONLY
(m/s)	Samples	[dBA]	[dBA]	Samples	[dBA]	[dBA]	UNLI
0	0	-	-	34	35	6.0	-
1	1	40	-	468	33	4.6	39
2	20	41	0.5	598	32	3.2	40
3	12	41	1.9	558	33	3.4	40
4	19	41	0.4	165	34	3.7	40
5	34	43	0.7	13	39	4.8	40
6	21	44	1.0	7	42	1.3	40
7	4	47	1.7	1	44	-	43

#### Table 9: M0616 Sound levels measured for Turbine ON and OFF

Note: Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol in all wind bins

The following figures present the scatter plots showing each valid 1-minute interval measured sound level at M1153, M2705, M1602, M0616 and M0085 when all the nearby turbines were ON (Turbine ON + Background) and when all the nearby turbines were OFF (Turbine OFF). The Turbine ON sound level presented was filtered such that only data when the closest turbine was generating 85% power or greater and the receptor was in a downwind condition from the closest turbine was included. It should be noted that the turbine ON sound level includes all sounds measured during the interval.




Figure 1: M1153 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind Speed





Figure 2: M2705 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind Speed

Figure 3: M1602 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind Speed







Figure 4: M0616 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind Speed

Figure 5: M0085 - Measured Sound Levels for Turbine ON and Background vs Wind Speed





### 6 Discussion

#### 6.1 **Overall Sound Level**

The turbine-only component of the sound level was derived from a logarithmic subtraction of the ambient noise from that of the sound level measured with the turbines operating. The resulting sound level can be attributed to the turbines. It should be noted that all values in Tables 5 to 9 have been rounded to the nearest integer. Calculated Turbine ONLY levels listed were calculated based on unrounded Turbine ON and Turbine OFF values.

The audit at M2705, M1602, M0616 and M0085 are considered representative of the sound levels at Receptor M2705, M0616 and M0085 given the placement of the acoustic monitoring stations.

Monitor M1153 was erected in a location that was closer to the wind project than their representative receptor due to site specific setup limitations. The effect of the closer measurement location is a shorter source-to-receiver distance to the closest turbines and therefore a higher sound level from the turbines at the monitor location compared to the receptor location. To account for this difference in sound level, a correction has been applied based on the difference between the predicted level at the monitor location and the predicted level at the receptor location in the acoustic model. As a result, 1.7 dB was subtracted from the Turbine ONLY sound level for monitor O1153 and is presented in Table 10. See Appendix I for detailed calculation information.

Table 10 presents the Turbine ON, Turbine OFF and calculated Turbine ONLY sound pressure levels between 4-7 m/s. Wind bins which satisfy the RAM-I sample size requirements are highlighted in grey.



#### Table 10: Assessment Table

Measurement Location	Wind speed at 10m height [m/s]	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Turbine ON LAeq [dBA]	*	*	*	42	42	43	*	*
M1153	Turbine OFF LAeq [dBA]	*	27	30	30	32	35	41	46
	Turbine ONLY LAeq [dBA]	*	*	*	40†	40†	40†	*	*
MC	DECP Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40	41**	46**
	Turbine ON LAeq [dBA]	*	*	*	41	41	42	*	*
M2705	Turbine OFF LAeq [dBA]	31	29	29	30	33	38	43	*
	Turbine ONLY LAeq [dBA]	*	*	*	40	40	39	38	*
MC	DECP Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40	43**	43
	Turbine ON LAeq [dBA]	*	*	*	*	42	43	44	*
M1602	Turbine OFF LAeq [dBA]	38	37	38	39	37	39	43	48
	Turbine ONLY LAeq [dBA]	*	*	*	*	40	40	39‡	*
MC	DECP Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40	43**	48**
	Turbine ON LAeq [dBA]	*	41	41	*	*	*	*	*
M0085	Turbine OFF LAeq [dBA]	*	31	30	31	33	35	*	*
	Turbine ONLY LAeq [dBA]	*	40	40	*	*	*	*	*
MC	DECP Limit	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	43

<sup>†</sup> Turbine ONLY levels are given a distance correction (-1.7dB) Refer to Section 5.1 for more detail.

‡ Higher uncertainty on calculated Turbine ONLY levels in cases where the measured ambient sound level (Turbine OFF) is within 1 dB of the measured Turbine ON level

\*Insufficient amount of data points to calculate Turbine ONLY level as per RAM-I protocol \*\* Background sound level is greater than the applicable exclusion limit, the applicable limit is the background sound level

The data from Table 10 is plotted in Figures 6 to 9.





Figure 6: M1153 Turbine Levels compared to MOECP Limits

Figure 7: M2705 Turbine Levels compared to MOECP Limits







Figure 8: M1602 Turbine Levels compared to MOECP Limits

Figure 9: M0085 Turbine Levels compared to MOECP Limits



aercoustics

#### 6.2 **Tonality**

Our site observations qualitatively indicate no presence of distinctly audible tones at the measurement locations. The noise from the wind turbines was subjectively assessed not to be tonal.

The MOECP Compliance Protocol stipulates that additional tonality analysis must be carried out in situations where a noise test report on the wind turbine indicates an emitted tonal audibility greater than a value of 3dB. No such tones were assessed according to the IEC 61400-11 Edition 3.0 noise emission measurements carried out on turbines T46 [4] and T35 [5].

Objective and in-depth tonality analysis was also completed based on 1-minute narrow band spectra, ranging from 20 Hz to 3000 Hz. The methodology followed that of IEC 1400-11 Ed. 3.0 with modifications to adapt the method to immission measurements. Specifically, narrowband data was acquired and calculated for each 1-minute interval used in the immission analysis and binned by wind speed. Each minute was analysed in order to detect any tones with tonal audibility greater than -3 dB at any of the measured frequencies. Similar to the methodology in IEC 61400-11, a tone would have to be present in at least 20% of the sample to be deemed as existing. This reduces the possibility of intermittent tones related to either the unsteady operation of the turbines, or from other contaminating sources, being attributed to the steady state operation of the turbines. The tonal audibility for the most prominent tones in each wind bin were then evaluated to determine if a tonal penalty would be applicable. The penalty structure was taken from ISO1996-2 Annex C: namely that the tonal penalty would be a positive number between 0dB and 6 dB based on the degree of tonal audibility of the worst-case tone. A tonal penalty is calculated as Lta - 4 dB. i.e. a tonal audibility of 6.5 would incur a penalty of 2.5 dBA on the overall Turbine Only level.

The tonality analysis results of the Emission audit measurements for turbines T35 (rated at 104.8 dBA) and T46 (rated at 102.9 dBA) were used as a basis for tones at all receptors, respectively, which were likely to have been generated by the closest turbine rather than by an external source. A 116 Hz tone was observed at all receptors but was not prevalent enough nor prominent enough for a tonal penalty to be applicable. Tonal assessment summary tables are provided in Appendix D.

No tonal penalty was found to be applicable based on detailed tonal audibility analysis at audited receptors at the NRWF Wind Farm.

## 7 Assessment of Compliance

Based on the calculated turbine-only component indicated in Table 10 and Figures 6 to 9, the Niagara Region Wind Farm Project was found to be compliant with MOECP limits at receptors M1153, V2705, M1602 and M0085 during the audit.

Receptor O616 does not have sufficient data for assessment in accordance with the Protocol. However, the measured levels in Table 8 indicate compliance.

# 8 Conclusion

Aercoustics Engineering Limited has completed the Phase 1 acoustic immission audit outlined in the Renewable Energy Approval for the Niagara Region Wind Farm Project. The audit was completed as per the methodology outlined in Parts D and E of the "*MOECP Compliance Protocol for Wind Turbine Noise*."

The measured levels were compared to the MOECP limits, and the facility was determined to be in compliance at receptors O1153, V2705, O1602 and O0085 during the audit.

Insufficient data was collected at receptor O0616 despite an extended monitoring campaign. Measurements are expected to continue in the Fall for the second audit. As per Part E5.2 of the protocol the audit results of two measurement campaigns will be combined to fulfil the minimum required sample size at this location.

### 9 References

[1] V. Schroter, "Renewable Energy Approval #4353-9HMP2R", Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Toronto, ON, November 6, 2014 and further modified on November 23, 2015, May 6, 2016 and May 12, 2016.

[2] Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change, *"Compliance Protocol for Wind Turbine Noise"*, Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Toronto, ON, April 21, 2017.

[3] K. Ganesh and K. Mallinen, "Niagara Region Wind Farm Noise Assessment Report – REA Amendment", Stantec Consulting Ltd., Markham, ON, April 08, 2016.


[4] P. Ashtiani and A. Munro, "Niagara Region Wind Farm – Turbine T46 – IEC 61400-11 Edition 3.0 Measurement Report", Aercoustics Engineering Ltd., Mississauga, ON, 03 November 2017.

[5] P. Ashtiani and A. Munro, "Niagara Region Wind Farm – Turbine T35 – IEC 61400-11 Edition 3.0 Measurement Report", Aercoustics Engineering Ltd., Mississauga, ON, 03 November 2017.



Aercoustics Engineering Ltd.Tel: 416-249-33611004 Middlegate Road, Suite 1100Fax 416-249-3613Mississauga, ON L4Y 0G1aercoustics.com

Appendix A Location Details






	TOLLI		
aercoustics	Scale: NTS Drawn by: JM	Niagara Region Wind Farm Project - 1st Acoustic Immission Audit	
	Reviewed by: AM	Figure Title	
	Date: Jul 10, 2018 Revision: 1	M1153 - Monitor and Receptor Location Details	Figure A.02


0_2506 C	155 0_2570 0_2570 0_2558 0_2558 0_2 0_2530 _2558 0_2 0_2592		<ul> <li>AZ705</li> <li>Non-Participating Receptor</li> <li>NRV/F Turbine</li> <li>Participating Receptor</li> <li>Vacant Lot Receptor</li> <li>Vacant Lot Receptor</li> <li>0 3366 0 3431 0 3167</li> <li>0 3366 0 3431 0 3167</li> <li>0 3167 0 3167</li> </ul>
© 2018 Google		576 0 2688 <b>2 2714</b> 0 2887 6 3021	N 1 km
	16227 Scale: NTS	Project Name Niagara Region Wind Farm Project - 1st Acoustic Immission Audit	
C aercoustics	Drawn by: JM Reviewed by: AM Date: Jul 10, 2018 Revision: 1	Figure Title M2705 - Monitor and Receptor Location Details	Figure A.03


M2705 - Monitor and Receptor Location Details

Revision: 1



<b>C</b> aercoustics	16227	Project Name	
	CS Drawn by: JM Reviewed by: AM Date: Jul 10, 2018 Revision: 1	Niagara Region Wind Farm Project - 1st Acoustic Immission Audit	
		Figure Title	
		M1602 - Monitor and Receptor Location Details	Figure A.04





C) aercoustics	Scale: NTS Drawn by: JM	Niagara Region Wind Farm Project - 1st Acoustic Immission Audit			
	Reviewed by: AM	Figure Title			
	Date: Jul 10, 2018	E Manitar and Decenter Leastion Dataile	Figure A.06		
	Revision: 1	M0085 - Monitor and Receptor Location Details			



ed by: AM Figure Title Date: Jul 10, 2018 vision: 1 Site Photos - M1153


Revision: 1





	16227	Project Name		
	<b>C</b> aercoustics	Scale: NTS Drawn by: JM Reviewed by: AM Date: Jul 10, 2018 Revision: 1	Niagara Region Wind Farm Project - 1st Acoustic Immission Audit	
1			Figure Title	
			Site Photos - M2705	Figure A.08






Drawn by: JM Reviewed by: AM Date: Jul 10, 2018 Revision: 1

Niagara Region Wind Farm Project - 1st Acoustic Immission Audit

Figure Title

Site Photos - M0616











Aercoustics Engineering Ltd.Tel: 416-249-33611004 Middlegate Road, Suite 1100Fax 416-249-3613Mississauga, ON L4Y 0G1aercoustics.com


Appendix B Wind Roses













Aercoustics Engineering Ltd.Tel: 416-249-336150 Ronson Drive, Suite 165Fax 416-249-3613Toronto, ON M9W 1B3aercoustics.com

# Appendix C Turbine Operational Statement from Operator



Aercoustics Engineering Ltd.Tel: 416-249-33611004 Middlegate Road, Suite 1100Fax 416-249-3613Mississauga, ON L4Y 0G1aercoustics.com

Appendix D Tonality Assessment

Project: Niagara Region Wind Farm Project - 1st Acoustic Immission Audit Report ID: 16227

	M1153 49-99 Hz Tonality Summary											
Wind Speed (m/s)	Data Count	Tone Count	Tonal Presence (%)	Turbine ONLY (dB)	MOECC Sound Level Limit (dB)	Average Tonal Audability (dB)	Applicable Tonal Penalty (dB)					
0	17	0	0%	*	40	**	0					
1	51	0	0%	*	40	**	0					
2	51	0	0%	*	40	* *	0					
3	94	0	0%	40†	40	* *	0					
4	266	0	0%	40†	40	* *	0					
5	147	0	0%	40†	40	* *	0					
6	34	0	0%	*	40	* *	0					
7	2	0	0%	*	43	**	0					

\* Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

\*\* No data points at wind speed

† Turbine ONLY levels are given a distance correction (-1.7 dB)

	M1153 91-141 Hz Tonality Summary										
Wind Speed (m/s)	Data Count	Tone Count	Tonal Presence (%)	Turbine ONLY (dB)	MOECC Sound Level Limit (dB)	Average Tonal Audability (dB)	Applicable Tonal Penalty (dB)				
0	17	17	100%	*	40	-0.9	0				
1	51	50	98%	*	40	0.2	0				
2	51	51	100%	*	40	1.0	0				
3	94	94	100%	40†	40	3.1	0				
4	266	266	100%	40†	40	2.6	0				
5	147	147	100%	40†	40	2.6	0				
6	34	34	100%	*	40	2.5	0				
7	2	2	100%	*	43	2.5	0				

\* Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

\*\* No data points at wind speed

† Turbine ONLY levels are given a distance correction (-1.7 dB)



Project: Niagara Region Wind Farm Project - 1st Acoustic Immission Audit Report ID: 16227

	M2705 49-99 Hz Tonality Summary											
Wind Speed (m/s)	Data Count	Tone Count	Tonal Presence (%)	Turbine ONLY (dB)	MOECC Sound Level Limit (dB)	Average Tonal Audability (dB)	Applicable Tonal Penalty (dB)					
0	0	0	**	* *	40	* *	0					
1	9	0	0%	*	40	* *	0					
2	54	0	0%	*	40	* *	0					
3	137	0	0%	40	40	* *	0					
4	168	0	0%	40	40	* *	0					
5	60	0	0%	39	40	* *	0					
6	47	0	0%	*	40	* *	0					
7	21	0	0%	*	43	**	0					

\* Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

\*\* No data points at wind speed

	M2705 91-141 Hz Tonality Summary										
Wind Speed (m/s)	Data Count	Tone Count	Tonal Presence (%)	Turbine ONLY (dB)	MOECC Sound Level Limit (dB)	Average Tonal Audability (dB)	Applicable Tonal Penalty (dB)				
0	0	0	**	**	40	* *	0				
1	9	9	100%	*	40	-0.9	0				
2	54	51	94%	*	40	-0.1	0				
3	137	135	99%	40	40	0.3	0				
4	168	166	99%	40	40	1.4	0				
5	60	55	92%	39	40	1.8	0				
6	47	24	51%	*	40	-1.5	0				
7	21	10	48%	*	43	1.9	0				

\* Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

\*\* No data points at wind speed



Page 1 of 1 Created on: 7/16/2018

Project: Niagara Region Wind Farm Project - 1st Acoustic Immission Audit Report ID: 16227

	M1602 49-99 Hz Tonality Summary											
Wind Speed (m/s)	Data Count	Tone Count	Tonal Presence (%)	Turbine ONLY (dB)	MOECC Sound Level Limit (dB)	Average Tonal Audability (dB)	Applicable Tonal Penalty (dB)					
0	0	0	**	* *	40	**	0					
1	0	0	* *	* *	40	* *	0					
2	0	0	* *	* *	40	* *	0					
3	8	1	13%	*	40	* * *	0					
4	74	1	1%	40	40	* * *	0					
5	103	0	0%	40	40	* *	0					
6	92	2	2%	39	40	* * *	0					
7	13	0	0%	*	43	* *	0					

\* Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

\*\* No data points at wind speed

\*\*\* Tone presence less than 20%

	M1602 91-141 Hz Tonality Summary										
Wind Speed (m/s)	Data Count	Tone Count	Tonal Presence (%)	Turbine ONLY (dB)	MOECC Sound Level Limit (dB)	Average Tonal Audability (dB)	Applicable Tonal Penalty (dB)				
0	0	0	**	**	40	**	0				
1	0	0	**	**	40	**	0				
2	0	0	**	**	40	* *	0				
3	8	8	100%	*	40	2.3	0				
4	74	73	99%	40	40	3.9	0				
5	103	99	96%	40	40	2.1	0				
6	92	50	54%	39	40	-0.2	0				
7	13	5	38%	*	43	*	0				

\* Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

\*\* No data points at wind speed



Project: Niagara Region Wind Farm Project - 1st Acoustic Immission Audit Report ID: 16227

	M0616 49-99 Hz Tonality Summary											
Wind Speed (m/s)	Data Count	Tone Count	Tonal Presence (%)	Turbine ONLY (dB)	MOECC Sound Level Limit (dB)	Average Tonal Audability (dB)	Applicable Tonal Penalty (dB)					
0	0	0	**	* *	40	**	0					
1	1	0	0%	*	40	* *	0					
2	20	0	0%	*	40	* *	0					
3	12	2	17%	*	40	*	0					
4	19	0	0%	*	40	* *	0					
5	34	0	0%	*	40	* *	0					
6	21	0	0%	*	40	* *	0					
7	4	0	0%	*	43	* *	0					

\* Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

\*\* No data points at wind speed

	M0616 91-141 Hz Tonality Summary										
Wind Speed (m/s)	Data Count	Tone Count	Tonal Presence (%)	Turbine ONLY (dB)	MOECC Sound Level Limit (dB)	Average Tonal Audability (dB)	Applicable Tonal Penalty (dB)				
0	0	0	**	* *	40	* *	0				
1	1	1	100%	*	40	*	0				
2	20	15	75%	*	40	*	0				
3	12	11	92%	*	40	*	0				
4	19	16	84%	*	40	*	0				
5	34	18	53%	*	40	*	0				
6	21	17	81%	*	40	*	0				
7	4	0	0%	*	43	* *	0				

\* Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

\*\* No data points at wind speed



aercoustics.com

Project: Niagara Region Wind Farm Project - 1st Acoustic Immission Audit Report ID: 16227

	M0085 49-99 Hz Tonality Summary											
Wind Speed (m/s)	Data Count	Tone Count	Tonal Presence (%)	Turbine ONLY (dB)	MOECC Sound Level Limit (dB)	Average Tonal Audability (dB)	Applicable Tonal Penalty (dB)					
0	7	0	0%	*	40	*	0					
1	76	0	0%	40	40	*	0					
2	117	0	0%	40	40	*	0					
3	28	0	0%	*	40	*	0					
4	4	0	0%	*	40	*	0					
5	1	0	0%	*	40	*	0					
6	0	0	**	**	40	**	0					
7	0	0	**	**	43	* *	0					

\* Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

\*\* No data points at wind speed

	M0085 91-141 Hz Tonality Summary										
Wind Speed (m/s)	Data Count	Tone Count	Tonal Presence (%)	Turbine ONLY (dB)	MOECC Sound Level Limit (dB)	Average Tonal Audability (dB)	Applicable Tonal Penalty (dB)				
0	7	7	100%	*	40	*	0				
1	76	75	99%	40	40	1.2	0				
2	117	117	100%	40	40	2.1	0				
3	28	27	96%	*	40	*	0				
4	4	4	100%	*	40	*	0				
5	1	1	100%	*	40	*	0				
6	0	0	**	**	40	* *	0				
7	0	0	**	**	43	* *	0				

\* Insufficient amount of data points as per RAM-I protocol

\*\* No data points at wind speed



Page 1 of 1 Created on: 7/16/2018



Aercoustics Engineering Ltd.Tel: 416-249-33611004 Middlegate Road, Suite 1100Fax 416-249-3613Mississauga, ON L4Y 0G1aercoustics.com

# Appendix E Turbine Status during TON and TOFF

Turbine ID			Monitor Lo		
	M1153	M2705	M1602	M0616	M0085
T01					
T02					
T03					
T04		1			
T05	1				
T06					
T07					
T08					1
T09					
T10					
T11					
T12					
T13					
T14					
T16					
T18					
T19					
T20	1				
T21					
T22					
T23					
T24					
T27			1		
T28			1		
T29			•		
T31					
T32					
T33					
T34					
T34					
T36					
T36 T37					
T38					
T39					
T41					
T42					
T43					
T44					
T45					
T46					
T47					
T48					
T49					
T51					
T52					1
T53					1
T54					

#### Niagara Region - Turbine Status Matrix for TON and TOFF



Turking ID			Monitor Loo	cations	
Turbine ID	M1153	M2705	M1602	M0616	M0085
T55					
T56		1			
T57					
T58		1			
T59					
T60					
T61					
T62	1				
T63	1				
T65					
T66				1	
T72					
T74					
T75					
T76					
T78					
T79					
T80					
T81					
T82					
T83					
T84					
T85				1	
T88					
T89					
T91					
T93				1	
T94				1	
T95					
T96	1				
T97					
T98					
T99	1				

1 - Turbine ON/OFF

Turbines turned off such that predicted impact at monitor/receptor location is 30 dBA or less





Aercoustics Engineering Ltd. Tel: 416-249-3361 1004 Middlegate Road, Suite 1100 Fax 416-249-3613 Mississauga, ON L4Y 0G1

aercoustics.com

Appendix F Receptor Selection Rationale

#### Appendix F - Receptor Selection Summary Project: Niagara Region Wind Farm Project

		Madelled	Deer	Distance	1	
Receptor ID	Description	Modelled Sound Level	Receptor Height	Distance to Closest Turbine	Closest Turbine ID	Rationale
receptor in	Description	(dBA)	(m)	(m)	SIGSEST ALDINE ID	nanollaic
0_1097	Non-Participating Receptor	40.0	4.5	612	T75	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1344	Non-Participating Receptor	40.0	4.5	640	T28	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_856	Non-Participating Receptor	40.0	4.5	556	T20	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_2635	Non-Participating Vacant Lot	40.0	4.5	597	T24	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_2719 V_563	Non-Participating Vacant Lot Non-Participating Vacant Lot	40.0	4.5	552 601	T24 T94	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_368	Non-Participating Receptor	39.9	4.5	570	T39	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_308	Non-Participating Receptor	39.9	4.5	610	T39	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1153	Non-Participating Receptor	39.9	4.5	584	T20	Selected Monitoring Location
O_1184	Non-Participating Receptor	39.9	4.5	718	T63	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1707	Non-Participating Receptor	39.9	4.5	734	T01	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_2361 V_430	Non-Participating Vacant Lot Non-Participating Vacant Lot	39.9 39.8	4.5 4.5	971 616	T43 T39	Poor monitoring location; large tree lot to the South to block winds and corn stalks in field to cause high ambient noise
0_1349	Non-Participating Receptor	39.8	4.5	614	T27	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1734	Non-Participating Receptor	39.8	4.5	705	T06	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2160	Non-Participating Receptor	39.8	4.5	649	T32	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
O_2550	Non-Participating Receptor	39.8	4.5	693	T34	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
O_2593	Non-Participating Receptor	39.8	4.5	608	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_2180	Non-Participating Vacant Lot	39.8	4.5	653	T31	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_3582	Non-Participating Vacant Lot	39.8 39.8	4.5	553 569	T93 T20	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_855 O_543	Non-Participating Vacant Lot Non-Participating Receptor	39.8	4.5	663	T07	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1668	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	651	T65	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1002	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	555	T38	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1096	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	657	T96	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1750	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	697	T06	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1770	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7 39.7	4.5	776 900	T76 T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2420 0_2434	Non-Participating Receptor Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	900	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2434	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	833	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2449	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	799	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2601	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	626	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2605	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	635	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2608	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	645	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2611	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	650	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2616 0_2619	Non-Participating Receptor Non-Participating Receptor	39.7 39.7	4.5 4.5	662 676	T49 T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2690	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	728	T35	Permission not Granted
0_2753	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	609	T58	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_616	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	617	T93	Selected Monitoring Location
O_986	Non-Participating Receptor	39.7	4.5	559	T38	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_1122	Non-Participating Vacant Lot	39.7	4.5	628	T20	Permission not Granted
V_1995 V_2411	Non-Participating Vacant Lot	39.7 39.7	4.5 4.5	705 934	T76 T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_2411 V_2437	Non-Participating Vacant Lot Non-Participating Vacant Lot	39.7	4.5	934 847	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_2451	Non-Participating Vacant Lot	39.7	4.5	794	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_2705	Non-Participating Vacant Lot	39.7	4.5	603	T04	Selected Monitoring Location
O_1074	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	632	T19	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1112	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	673	T19	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_937	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	583	T19	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
O_2589 O_1409	Non-Participating Receptor Non-Participating Receptor	39.6 39.6	4.5	613 597	T49 T27	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1409	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	741	T65	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1662	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	704	T05	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
O_2280	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	659	T31	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2440	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	827	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2580	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	611	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2598	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	573	T35	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2627 0_2629	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6 39.6	4.5	666 590	T23 T04	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2629	Non-Participating Receptor Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	652	T23	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2033	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	657	T02	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_3030	Non-Participating Receptor	39.6	4.5	646	T59	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_2404	Non-Participating Vacant Lot	39.6	4.5	965	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
V_3583	Non-Participating Vacant Lot	39.6	4.5	561	T93	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_603	Non-Participating Receptor	39.5	4.5	643	T93	Receptor location 115m away from selected monitoring location M616
0_118	Non-Participating Receptor	39.5 39.5	4.5	636 558	T88 T28	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1602 0_1636	Non-Participating Receptor Non-Participating Receptor	39.5	4.5	558	T28 T01	Selected Monitoring Location Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_1636	Non-Participating Receptor	39.5	4.5	724	T01	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2026	Non-Participating Receptor	39.5	4.5	759	T76	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2571	Non-Participating Receptor	39.5	4.5	618	T49	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_2658	Non-Participating Receptor	39.5	4.5	603	T23	Not in Prevailing Wind Direction
0_85	Non-Participating Receptor	39.5	4.5	554	T08	Selected Monitoring Location



Aercoustics Engineering Ltd.Tel: 416-249-33611004 Middlegate Road, Suite 1100Fax 416-249-3613Mississauga, ON L4Y 0G1aercoustics.com

Appendix G Calibration Certificates

## **Certificate of Calibration**

for

**MICROPHONE UNIT** PCB PIEZOTRONICS Manufactured by: 378B02 Model No: 126059 Serial No: **Calibration Recall No:** 28159

Submitted By:

**Customer:** 

Company: Address:

Aercoustics Engineering Ltd.

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

378B02 PCB P West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No.

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

(X) Within

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration. The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above. West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

West Caldwell Calibration

Laboratories, Inc.

Approved by:



Felix Christopher (QA Mgr.)

**Calibration Date:** 18-Oct-17

28159 -1 **Certificate No:** 

1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

uncompromised calibration

Certificate Page 1 of 1



ISO/IEC 17025:2005

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

#### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories, Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

### REPORT OF CALIBRATION


for

**PCB Piezotronics Microphone Unit** 

Model No.: 378B02 Mic Model No.: 377B02 Preamp Model No.: 426E01

Serial No.: 126059 Serial No.: 166109 Serial No.: 044442 ID No.: XXXX

**Company: Aercoustics Engineering Ltd** 



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure : Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Measurements performed by:

Kent Zeng Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.



#### P378B02PCB\_126059\_Oct-18-2017

#### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

### Calibration Data Record

for

PCB Piezotronics Microphone Unit Company: Aercoustics Engineering Ltd Model No.: 378B02

Serial No.: 126059 ID No.: XXXX

#### Frequency Response (Reference = 0 dB @ 250Hz )

	Des to the second	Frank Platel	Dandami
Frequency	Pressure	Free Field	Random
[Hz]	[dB]	[dB]	[dB]
19.95	0.10	0.10	0.10
25.12	0.15	0.15	0.15
31.62	0.15	0.15	0.15
39.81	0.13	0.13	0.13
50.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
63.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
79.43	0.07	0.07	0.07
100.00	0.05	0.05	0.05
125.89	0.03	0.03	0.03
158.49	0.01	0.01	0.01
199.53	0.01	0.01	0.01
251.19	0.00	0.00	0.00
316.23	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
398.11	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
501.19	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
630.96	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
794.33	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03
1000.00	-0.06	0.05	-0.06
1258.93	-0.07	0.09	-0.03
1584.89	-0.12	0.11	-0.04
1995.26	-0.19	0.14	0.01
2511.89	-0.28	0.20	0.03
3162.28	-0.64	0.08	-0.23
3981.07	-0.95	0.15	-0.22
5011.87	-1.25	0.43	-0.01
6309.57	-2.04	0.54	0.11
7943.28	-3.47	0.53	0.24
10000.00	-5.19	1.02	0.90
12589.25	-6.62	1.81	0.92
15848.93	-8.02	1.80	-2.14
19952.62	-11.49		-7.99

Freq. response: Expanded Uncertainty (dB) with coverage factor K = 2 20 to 63Hz 0.1dB, 63 to 12.5kHz 0.094dB, 12.5k to 16kHz 0.10dB, 16k to 20kHz 0.5dB.

Instruments used for calibration:				Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Re-cal. Due Date	
	Brüel & Kjær	4226	S/N 1445428	11-Aug-2017	683/284413-14	11-Aug-2018	
	Brüel & Kjær	3560	S/N 2241893	11-Aug-2017	683/284413-14	11-Aug-2018	
	HP	33120A	S/N 36043716	11-Aug-2017	,287708	11-Aug-2018	
	HP	34401A	S/N 36064102	11-Aug-2017	,287708	11-Aug-2018	

Cal. Date: 18-Oct-2017

#### Tested by: Kent Zeng

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB

1(1) Test report no. H31-16500011

## **TEST REPORT**

Product family Product type Order code Serial number Manufacturer Test date WXT530 series WXT536 6B1B2A4D1B1B M4910199 Vaisala Oyj, Finland 12 December 2016

This test report certifies that the product was thoroughly tested and inspected, and found to meet its published test limits when it was shipped from Vaisala.

Test	Result	Lower limit	Upper limit	Unit
Rain response	412	345	575	mV
Zero wind speed	0	0	0.4	m/s
Pressure difference	-0.06	-1	1	hPa
Temperature difference	-0.72	-2	2	°C
Humidity difference	-0.63	-10	10	%RH
Heating current	0.75	0.6	0.8	A
Current (service port)	1.3	0.5	2	mA
Communication (service port)	pass	PASS	PASS	-
Current (main port)	0.9	0.5	2	mA
Communication (main port)	pass	PASS	PASS	-

Ambient conditions / Humidity 14.16 ±5 %RH, Temperature 22.19 ±1 °C, Pressure 1010.72 ±1 hPa.

Signature Technician

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Vaisala.

DOC233154-A.doc

Calibration sheet no. H31-16500012

## **CALIBRATION SHEET**

Instrument Serial number Manufacturer Test date

WXTPTU M4550054 Vaisala Oyj, Finland 13 November 2016

This test report certifies that the instrument was thoroughly tested and inspected, and found to meet its published test limits when it was shipped from Vaisala.

#### Calibration results

Test phase of calibration process	Reference value	Observed value	Difference*	Uncertainty**
Pressure	1079.5	1079.5	0	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	896.8	896.9	0.1	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	796.6	796.6	0	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	596.3	596.3	0	± 0.4 hPa
Temperature	59.6	59.6	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-5.9	-5.9	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-32.3	-32.3	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	24.8	24.8	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-52	-51.9	0.1	± 0.2 °C
Relative humidity	29.8	29.8	0	± 2 %RH
Relative humidity	58.4	58.4	0	± 2 %RH
Relative humidity	91.3	91.3	0	± 3 %RH
		and the set		

\*The test points for error values are polynomial fitting curve fitting points.

\*\*The calibration uncertainty given at 95 % confidence level, k = 2

#### Traceability

The working standards for pressure and temperature are calibrated at Vaisala Measurement Standards Laboratory (MSL) by using MSL working standards traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, USA). The relative humidity values are calculated from measured temperature and dew-point temperature values. The dew-point working standards are traceable to the Finnish National Humidity Laboratory (MIKES).

Signature

Technician

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Vaisala.

Vaisala Oyj | PO Box 26, FI-00421 Helsinki, Finland Phone +358 9 894 91 | Fax +358 9 8949 2227 Email firstname.lastname@vaisala.com | www.vaisala.com Domicile Vantaa, Finland | VAT FI01244162 | Business ID 0124416-2 Doc218938-A

# **Certificate of Calibration**

for

MICROPHONE UNIT Manufactured by: PCB PIEZOTRONCS Model No: 378B02 Serial No: 122654 Calibration Recall No: 27880

Submitted By:

**Customer:** 

Company: Address: Aercoustics Egineering LTD

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No. 378B02 PCB P

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Calibration Date: 25-Jul-17

Certificate No: 27880 - 5

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1

ACCREDITED

ISO/IEC 17025:2005

Felix Christopher (QA Mgr.)

Approved by:

uncompromised calibration **Laboratories, Inc.** 1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

West Caldwell Calibration

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

P378B02PCB	122654	Jul-25-2017

West Caldwell Calibration uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564


## **REPORT OF CALIBRATION**

for


**PCB Piezotronics Microphone Unit** 

Model No.: 378B02 Mic Model No.: 377B02 Preamp Model No.: 426E01

**Company: Aercoustics Engineering LTD** 



The pressure response recorded with electroacoustic method.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure : Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Measurements performed by: ...

Jaynes Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P376B02PCB

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.



Serial No.: 122654

Serial No.: 155181 Serial No.: 040835

ID No.: XXXX

#### P378B02PCB\_122654\_Jul-25-2017

#### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

### **Calibration Data Record**

for

PCB Piezotronics Microphone Unit Company: Aercoustics Engineering LTD Model No.: 378B02

Serial No.: 122654 ID No.: XXXX

#### Frequency Response (Reference = 0 dB @ 250Hz)

<b>E</b>	Deserves	Esse Etstal	Den den d
Frequency	Pressure	Free Field	Random
[Hz]	[dB]	[dB]	[dB]
19.95	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
25.12	0.06	0.06	0.06
31.62	0.09	0.09	0.09
39.81	0.08	0.08	0.08
50.12	0.07	0.07	0.07
63.10	0.06	0.06	0.06
79.43	0.04	0.04	0.04
100.00	0.03	0.03	0.03
125.89	0.02	0.02	0.02
158.49	0.01	0.01	0.01
199.53	0.01	0.01	0.01
251.19	0.00	0.00	0.00
316.23	0.00	0.00	0.00
398.11	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
501.19	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
630.96	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
794.33	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03
1000.00	-0.05	0.06	-0.05
1258.93	-0.06	0.10	-0.02
1584.89	-0.12	0.11	-0.04
1995.26	-0.14	0.19	0.06
2511.89	-0.03	0.45	0.28
3162.28	-0.81	-0.09	-0.40
3981.07	-0.96	0.14	-0.23
5011.87	-1.11	0.57	0.13
6309.57	-1.85	0.73	0.30
7943.28	-3.01	0.99	0.70
10000.00	-4.80	1.41	1.29
12589.25	-7.65	0.78	-0.11
15848.93	-8.30	1.52	-2.42
19952.62	-12.21	-1.71	-8.71

Freq. response: Expanded Uncertainty (dB) with coverage factor K = 2 20 to 63Hz 0.1dB, 63 to 12.5kHz 0.094dB, 12.5k to 16kHz 0.10dB, 16k to 20kHz 0.5dB.

Instruments used for ca	alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Re-cal. Due Date
Brüel & Kjær	4226	S/N 1445428	3-Nov-2016	683/284413-14	3-Nov-2017
Brüel & Kjær	3560	S/N 2202374	3-Nov-2016	683/284413-14	3-Nov-2017
HP	33120A	S/N 36043716	1-Oct-2016	,287708	1-Oct-2017
HP	34401A	S/N 36064102	1-Oct-2016	,287708	1-Oct-2017

Cal. Date: 25-Jul-2017

Tested by: James Zhu

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB

1(1) Test report no. H31-16490083

## **TEST REPORT**

Product family Product type Order code Serial number Manufacturer Test date WXT530 series WXT536 6B1B2A4D1B1B M4910197 Vaisala Oyj, Finland 9 December 2016

This test report certifies that the product was thoroughly tested and inspected, and found to meet its published test limits when it was shipped from Vaisala.

Test	Result	Lower limit	Upper limit	Unit
Rain response	393	345	575	mV
Zero wind speed	0	0	0.4	m/s
Pressure difference	-0.12	-1	1	hPa
Temperature difference	-0.43	-2	2	°C
Humidity difference	0.68	-10	10	%RH
Heating current	0.75	0.6	0.8	Α
Current (service port)	1.37	0.5	2	mA
Communication (service port)	pass	PASS	PASS	-
Current (main port)	0.99	0.5	2	mA
Communication (main port)	pass	PASS	PASS	-

Ambient conditions / Humidity 26.27 ±5 %RH, Temperature 21.97 ±1 °C, Pressure 993.97 ±1 hPa.

Signature Technician

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Vaisala. DO

DOC233154-A.doc

Calibration sheet no. H31-16490084

## **CALIBRATION SHEET**

Instrument Serial number Manufacturer Test date

**WXTPTU** M4550066 Vaisala Oyj, Finland 13 November 2016

This test report certifies that the instrument was thoroughly tested and inspected, and found to meet its published test limits when it was shipped from Vaisala.

Test phase of calibration process	Reference	Observed value	Difference*	Uncertainty**
Pressure	1079	1079	0	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	899.3	899.3	0	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	799.1	799.1	0	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	598.9	598.9	0	± 0.4 hPa
Temperature	59.7	59.7	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-6	-6	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-32.8	-32.8	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	24.9	24.9	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-52.3	-52.3	0	± 0.2 °C
Relative humidity	29.9	29.9	0	± 2 %RH
Relative humidity	58.4	58.4	0	± 2 %RH
Relative humidity	92.3	92.3	0	± 3 %RH

\*The test points for error values are polynomial fitting curve fitting points.

\*\*The calibration uncertainty given at 95 % confidence level, k = 2

#### Traceability

The working standards for pressure and temperature are calibrated at Vaisala Measurement Standards Laboratory (MSL) by using MSL working standards traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, USA). The relative humidity values are calculated from measured temperature and dew-point temperature values. The dew-point working standards are traceable to the Finnish National Humidity Laboratory (MIKES).

Signature

Technician

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Vaisala.

Doc218938-A

Valsala Oyi | PO Box 26, FI-00421 Helsinki, Finland Phone +358 9 894 91 | Fax +358 9 8949 2227 Email firstname.lastname@vaisala.com | www.vaisala.com Domicile Vantaa, Finland | VAT FI01244162 | Business ID 0124416-2

### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

## **Certificate of Calibration**

for

MICROPONE UNIT Manufactured by: PCB PIEZOTRONICS Model No: 378B02 Serial No: 125634 Calibration Recall No: 28159

Submitted By:

**Customer:** 

Company: Address: Aercoustics Engineering Ltd.

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No. 378B02 PCB P

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration. The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above. West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Approved by:

Felix Christopher (QA Mgr.)

Calibration Date: 18-Oct-17

Certificate No: 28159 - 2

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1



ISO/IEC 17025:2005

uncompromised calibration **Laboratories, Inc.** 1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

West Caldwell Calibration

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

#### West Caldwell Calibration uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564



## REPORT OF CALIBRATION

for


**PCB Piezotronics Microphone Unit** 

Model No.: 378B02 Mic Model No.: 377B02 Preamp Model No.: 426E01 Serial No.: 125634 Serial No.: 166320 Serial No.: 043992 ID No.: XXXX

**Company: Aercoustics Engineering Ltd** 

-26.05 49.82	Hzand pressure of100.21/olts Polarization voltage (External):dB re.1V/PascalmV/PascalKo ( - dB re 50 mV/Pascal)PassPassPassPassceeds the tested manufacturer's specification passed.umbers:683/284413-14t 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of	Ambient Pressure: Calibration Date: Calibration Due: Report Number: Control Number:	49.5 100.212 18-Oct-2017	°C % RH kPa -2
-----------------	--	---	--------------------------------	-------------------------

The pressure response recorded with electroacoustic method.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell
Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure :
Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Measurements performed by: ....

Kent Zeng Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal, Labs, Inc.

ISO/IEC 17025: 2005

### P378B02PCB\_125634\_Oct-18-2017

### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

### Calibration Data Record

for

Model No.: 378B02

PCB Piezotronics Microphone Unit Company: Aercoustics Engineering Ltd Serial No.: 125634 ID No.: XXXX

#### Frequency Response ( Reference = 0 dB @ 250Hz )

		Case Statel	Random
Frequency	Pressure	Free Field [dB]	[dB]
[Hz]	[dB]	0.12	0.12
19.95	0.12		0.14
25.12	0.14	0.14	0.15
31.62	0.15	0.15	0.13
39.81	0.13	0.13	0.13
50.12	0.13	0.13	0.10
63.10	0.10	0.10	
79.43	0.07	0.07	0.07
100.00	0.05	0.05	0.05
125.89	0.03	0.03	0.03
158.49	0.01	0.01	
199.53	0.01	0.01	0.01
251.19	0.00	0.00	0.00
316.23	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
398.11	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
501.19	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
630.96	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03
794.33	-0.05	-0.05	-0.05
1000.00	0.08	0.03	-0.08
1258.93	-0.11	0.05	-0.07
1584.89	-0.18	0.05	-0.10
1995.26	-0.29	0.04	-0.09
2511.89	-0.44	0.04	-0.13
3162.28	-0.87	-0.15	-0.46
3981.07	-1.27	-0.17	-0.54
5011.87	-1.68	0.00	-0.44
6309.57	-2.51	0.07	-0.36
7943.28	-3.82	0.18	-0.11
10000.00	-5,45	0.76	0.64
12589.25	-6.51	1.92	1.03
15848.93	-8.06	1.76	-2.18
19952.62	- <u>9.55</u>	0.95	-6.05

Freq. response: Expanded Uncertainty (dB) with coverage factor K = 220 to 63Hz 0.1dB, 63 to 12.5kHz 0.094dB, 12.5k to 16kHz 0.10dB, 16k to 20kHz 0.5dB.

Instruments used for cal	libration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Re-cal. Due Date	
Brüel & Kiær	4226	S/N 1445428	11-Aug-2017	683/284413-14	11-Aug-2018	
Brüel & Kjær	3560	S/N 2241893	11-Aug-2017	683/284413-14	11-Aug-2018	
HP	33120A	S/N 36043716	11-Aug-2017	,287708	11-Aug-2018	
HP	34401A	S/N 36064102	11-Aug-2017	,287708	11-Aug-2018	

Cal. Date: 18-Oct-2017

#### **Tested by: Kent Zeng**

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB

1(1) Test report no. H31-16500013

### **TEST REPORT**

Product family Product type Order code Serial number Manufacturer Test date WXT530 series WXT536 6B1B2A4D1B1B M4910200 Vaisala Oyj, Finland 12 December 2016

This test report certifies that the product was thoroughly tested and inspected, and found to meet its published test limits when it was shipped from Vaisala.

Test	Result	Lower limit	Upper limit	Unit
Rain response	389	345	575	mV
Zero wind speed	0	0	0.4	m/s
Pressure difference	-0.05	-1	1	hPa
Temperature difference	-0.59	-2	2	°C
Humidity difference	-0.86	-10	10	%RH
Heating current	0.74	0.6	0.8	A
Current (service port)	1.37	0.5	2	mA
Communication (service port)	pass	PASS	PASS	-
Current (main port)	0.95	0.5	2	mA
Communication (main port)	pass	PASS	PASS	-

Ambient conditions / Humidity 14.81 ±5 %RH, Temperature 22.23 ±1 °C, Pressure 1010.44 ±1 hPa.

Signature nu Technician

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Vaisala.

DOC233154-A.doc

Vaisala Oyj | PO Box 26, FI-00421 Helsinki, Finland Phone +358 9 894 91 | Fax +358 9 8949 2227 Email firstname.lastname@vaisala.com | www.vaisala.com Domicile Vantaa, Finland | VAT FI01244162 | Business ID 0124416-2

Calibration sheet no. H31-16500014

## CALIBRATION SHEET

Instrument Serial number Manufacturer Test date

VAISALA

WXTPTU M4550027 Vaisala Oyj, Finland 13 November 2016

This test report certifies that the instrument was thoroughly tested and inspected, and found to meet its published test limits when it was shipped from Vaisala.

Calibration results Test phase of calibration process	Reference value	Observed value	Difference*	Uncertainty**
Pressure	1078.9	1078.9	0	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	896.4	896.5	0.1	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	796.3	796.2	-0.1	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	596.1	596.1	0	± 0.4 hPa
Temperature	59.6	59.6	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-5.9	-5.9	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-32.5	-32.6	-0.1	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	24.8	24.8	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-52.1	-52.1	0	± 0.2 °C
Relative humidity	29.7	29.7	0	± 2 %RH
Relative humidity	58.7	58.7	0	± 2 %RH
Relative humidity	91.7	91.7	0	± 3 %RH

\*The test points for error values are polynomial fitting curve fitting points.

\*\*The calibration uncertainty given at 95 % confidence level, k = 2

#### Traceability

The working standards for pressure and temperature are calibrated at Vaisala Measurement Standards Laboratory (MSL) by using MSL working standards traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, USA). The relative humidity values are calculated from measured temperature and dew-point temperature values. The dew-point working standards are traceable to the Finnish National Humidity Laboratory (MIKES).

Signature

Technician

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Vaisala.

Doc218938-A

### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

## **Certificate of Calibration**

for

MICROPHONE UNIT Manufactured by: PCB PIEZOTRONICS Model No: 378B02 Serial No: 118497 Calibration Recall No: 28159

Submitted By:

**Customer:** 

Company: Address:

antibusted to the indicated encollication using standards trace

Aercoustics Engineering Ltd.

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No. 378B02 PCB P

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration. The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above. West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

uncompromised calibration **Laboratories**. Inc.

West Caldwell Calibration

Approved by:

Felix Christopher (QA Mgr.)

Calibration Date: 18-Oct-17

Certificate No: 28159 - 3

1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1



ISO/IEC 17025:2005

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

#### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories, Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

## REPORT OF CALIBRATION

for

**PCB Piezotronics Microphone Unit** 

Model No.: 378B02 Mic Model No.: 377B02 Preamp Model No.: 426E01

Serial No.: 118497 Serial No.: 150759 Serial No.: 037483 ID No.: XXXX

**Company: Aercoustics Engineering Ltd** 

Calibration results: Before & after data same	:X	Α	mbient Temperature:	20.5	°C
Combined Sensitivity @ 25 (Sens. with mic. and preamp.) -25.5 53.1	<ul> <li>Hz and pressure of 100.</li> <li>Volts Polarization voltage (External):</li> <li>dB re.1V/Pascal</li> <li>mV/Pascal</li> <li>Ko ( - dB re 50 mV/Pascal)</li> <li>Pass</li> </ul>	.21 kPa	Ambient Humidity: Ambient Pressure: Calibration Date: Calibration Due: Report Number: Control Number:	49.5 100.212 18-Oct-2017 18-Oct-2018 28159 28159	
All test					
The above listed instrument meets of The IEC 651:type 1 and ANSI S1.4 1 This Calibration is traceable through NIST te	983 specification passed. st numbers: 683/284413-14		ons.		
The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.079	B at 95% confidence level with a coverage fac	tor of k=2.			

The pressure response recorded with electroacoustic method.

**Frequency Response** 5 Free Field 0 Random Magnitude (dB) -5 Pressure -10 -15 -20 Frequency (Hz) 1000 10000 10 100

 The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

 Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure :
 Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB

 Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under
 Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Measurements performed by:

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

ISO/IEC 17025: 2005

ACCREDITED Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

#### P378B02PCB\_118497\_Oct-18-2017

#### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

### Calibration Data Record

for

Model No.: 378B02

PCB Piezotronics Microphone Unit Company: Aercoustics Engineering Ltd Serial No.: 118497 ID No.: XXXX

#### Frequency Response (Reference = 0 dB @ 250Hz )

Frequency	Pressure	Free Field	Random
[Hz]	[dB]	[dB]	[dB]
19.95	0.10	0.10	0.10
25.12	0.15	0.15	0.15
31.62	0.15	0.15	0.15
39.81	0.13	0.13	0.13
50.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
63.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
79.43	0.07	0.07	0.07
100.00	0.05	0.05	0.05
125.89	0.03	0.03	0.03
158.49	0.01	0.01	0.01
199.53	0.01	0.01	0.01
251.19	0.00	0.00	0.00
316.23	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
398.11	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
501.19	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
630.96	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
794.33	-0.04	-0.04	-0.04
1000.00	-0.06	0.05	-0.06
1258.93	-0.07	0.09	-0.03
1584.89	-0.14	0.09	-0.06
1995.26	-0.24	0.09	-0.04
2511.89	-0.35	0.13	-0.04
3162.28	-0.73	-0.01	-0.32
3981.07	-1.11	-0.01	-0.38
5011.87	-1.48	0.20	-0.24
6309.57	-2.28	0.30	-0.13
7943.28	-3.54	0.46	0.17
10000.00	-5.19	1.02	0.90
12589.25	-6.58	1.85	0.96
15848.93	-8.46	1.36	-2.58
19952.62	-11.03	-0.53	-7.53

Freq. response: Expanded Uncertainty (dB) with coverage factor K = 2 20 to 63Hz 0.1dB, 63 to 12.5kHz 0.094dB, 12.5k to 16kHz 0.10dB, 16k to 20kHz 0.5dB.

Instruments used for ca	alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Re-cal. Due Date	
Brüel & Kjær	4226	S/N 1445428	11-Aug-2017	683/284413-14	11-Aug-2018	
Brüel & Kjær	3560	S/N 2241893	11-Aug-2017	683/284413-14	11-Aug-2018	
HP	33120A	S/N 36043716	11-Aug-2017	,287708	11-Aug-2018	
HP	34401A	S/N 36064102	11-Aug-2017	,287708	11-Aug-2018	

Cal. Date: 18-Oct-2017

#### Tested by: Kent Zeng

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal, Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB

1(1) Test report no. H31-16500009

(前)

## **TEST REPORT**

Product family Product type Order code Serial number Manufacturer Test date WXT530 series WXT536 6B1B2A4D1B1B M4910198 Vaisala Oyj, Finland 12 December 2016

This test report certifies that the product was thoroughly tested and inspected, and found to meet its published test limits when it was shipped from Vaisala.

Test	Result	Lower limit	Upper limit	Unit
Rain response	413	345	575	mV
Zero wind speed	0	0	0.4	m/s
Pressure difference	-0.07	-1	1	hPa
Temperature difference	-0.45	-2	2	°C
Humidity difference	-1.16	-10	10	%RH
Heating current	0.75	0.6	0.8	A
Current (service port)	1.33	0.5	2	mA
Communication (service port)	pass	PASS	PASS	-
Current (main port)	0.96	0.5	2	mA
Communication (main port)	pass	PASS	PASS	-

Ambient conditions / Humidity 15.19 ±5 %RH, Temperature 22.17 ±1 °C, Pressure 1010.86 ±1 hPa.

Signature CUV Technician

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Vaisala.

DOC233154-A.doc

Calibration sheet no. H31-16500010

## **CALIBRATION SHEET**

Instrument Serial number Manufacturer Test date

WXTPTU M4550060 Vaisala Oyj, Finland 13 November 2016

This test report certifies that the instrument was thoroughly tested and inspected, and found to meet its published test limits when it was shipped from Vaisala.

#### Calibration results

Test phase of calibration process	Reference value	Observed value	Difference*	Uncertainty**
Pressure	1079.6	1079.6	0	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	896.9	896.9	0	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	796.6	796.7	0.1	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	596.4	596.4	0	± 0.4 hPa
Temperature	59.7	59.7	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-5.9	-5.9	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-32.2	-32.2	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	24.8	24.8	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-51.9	-51.9	0	± 0.2 °C
Relative humidity	29.8	29.8	0	±2%RH
Relative humidity	58.5	58.5	0	± 2 %RH
Relative humidity	91.1	91.1	0	± 3 %RH

\*The test points for error values are polynomial fitting curve fitting points.

\*\*The calibration uncertainty given at 95 % confidence level, k = 2

#### Traceability

The working standards for pressure and temperature are calibrated at Vaisala Measurement Standards Laboratory (MSL) by using MSL working standards traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, USA). The relative humidity values are calculated from measured temperature and dew-point temperature values. The dew-point working standards are traceable to the Finnish National Humidity Laboratory (MIKES).

Signature Technician

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Vaisala.

Doc218938-A

### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

SEE:

## **Certificate of Calibration**

for

MICROPHONE UNIT Manufactured by: PCB PIEZOTRONICS Model No: 378B02 Serial No: 120586 Calibration Recall No: 28159

Submitted By:

**Customer:** 

Company: Address: Aercoustics Engineering Ltd.

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No. 378B02 PCB P

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration. The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above. West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Approved by:

Felix Christopher (QA Mgr.)

Calibration Date: 18-Oct-17

Certificate No: 28159 - 4

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1



ISO/IEC 17025:2005

uncompromised calibration **Laboratories, Inc.** 1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

West Caldwell Calibration

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

#### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories, Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

## REPORT OF CALIBRATION


for

PCB Piezotronics Microphone Unit

Model No.: 378B02 Mic Model No.: 377B02 Preamp Model No.: 426E01 Serial No.: 120586 Serial No.: 155523 Serial No.: 039195 ID No.: XXXX

**Company: Aercoustics Engineering Ltd** 

Calibration results:	X			Am	bient Temperature:	20.5	°C
4 Sensit Freq. Respo	250 Hz 0 Volts Polari 6.67 dB re.1V 6.37 mV/Pase 0.67 Ko ( - dE ivity: Pass onse: Pass	cal 3 re 50 mV/Pascal) ; ;		kPa	Ambient Humidity: Ambient Pressure: Calibration Date: Calibration Due: Report Number: Control Number:	49.5 100.212 18-Oct-2017 18-Oct-2018 28159 28159	% RH kPa -4
All t The above listed instrument meet	ests: Pass		er's snec	ification	S.		
The IEC 651:type 1 and ANSI S1 This Calibration is traceable through NIS The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.	.4 1983 specific T test numbers:	ation passed. 683/284413-14					
The pressure response recorded with ele							
			Freque	ncy Res	onse		



 The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

 Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure :
 Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB

 Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under
 Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Measurements performed by: .....

Kent Zeng Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.



#### P378B02PCB\_120586\_Oct-18-2017

#### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

### Calibration Data Record

for

Model No.: 378B02

PCB Piezotronics Microphone Unit Company: Aercoustics Engineering Ltd Serial No.: 120586 ID No.: XXXX

#### Frequency Response (Reference = 0 dB @ 250Hz )

Frequency	Pressure	Free Field	Random
[Hz]	[dB]	[dB]	[dB]
19.95	0.09	0.09	0.09
25.12	0.13	0.13	0.13
31.62	0.15	0.15	0.15
39.81	0.13	0.13	0.13
50.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
63.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
79.43	0.07	0.07	0.07
100.00	0.05	0.05	0.05
125.89	0.03	0.03	0.03
158.49	0.01	0.01	0.01
199.53	0.01	0.01	0.01
251.19	0.00	0.00	0.00
316.23	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
398.11	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
501.19	-0.05	-0.05	-0.05
630.96	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
794.33	-0.04	-0.04	-0.04
1000.00	-0.06	0.05	-0.06
1258.93	-0.07	0.09	-0.03
1584.89	-0.13	0.10	-0.05
1995.26	-0.22	0.11	-0.02
2511.89	-0.31	0.17	0.00
3162.28	-0.71	0.01	-0.30
3981.07	-1.04	0.06	-0.31
5011.87	-1.37	0.31	-0.13
6309.57	-2.15	0.43	0.00
7943.28	-3.23	0.77	0.48
10000.00	-4.83	1.38	1.26
12589.25	-6.53	1.90	1.01
15848.93	-8.12	1.70	-2.24
19952.62	-9.82	0.68	-6.32

Freq. response: Expanded Uncertainty (dB) with coverage factor K = 2 20 to 63Hz 0.1dB, 63 to 12.5kHz 0.094dB, 12.5k to 16kHz 0.10dB, 16k to 20kHz 0.5dB.

Instruments used for ca	alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Re-cal. Due Date
Brüel & Kjær	4226	S/N 1445428	11-Aug-2017	683/284413-14	11-Aug-2018
Brüel & Kjær	3560	S/N 2241893	11-Aug-2017	683/284413-14	11-Aug-2018
HP	33120A	S/N 36043716	11-Aug-2017	,287708	11-Aug-2018
HP	34401A	S/N 36064102	11-Aug-2017	,287708	11-Aug-2018

Cal. Date: 18-Oct-2017

#### Tested by: Kent Zeng

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 P378B02PCB

1(1) Test report no. H31-16490075

## **TEST REPORT**

Product family	WXT530 series
Product type	WXT536
Order code	6B1B2A4D1B1B
Serial number	M4910193
Manufacturer	Vaisala Oyj, Finland
Test date	9 December 2016

This test report certifies that the product was thoroughly tested and inspected, and found to meet its published test limits when it was shipped from Vaisala.

est results Test	Result Lower limit Upper limit		Unit	
Rain response	404	345	575	mV
Zero wind speed	0	0	0.4	m/s
Pressure difference	0.01	-1	1	hPa
Temperature difference	-0.33	-2	2	0°C
Humidity difference	0.52	-10	10	%RH
Heating current	0.74	0.6	0.8	Α
Current (service port)	1.47	0.5	2	mA
Communication (service port)	pass	PASS	PASS	-
Current (main port)	1.14	0.5	2	mA
Communication (main port)	pass	PASS	PASS	-

Ambient conditions / Humidity 25.89 ±5 %RH, Temperature 21.86 ±1 °C, Pressure 993.72 ±1 hPa.

Signature

Em Technician

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Vaisala.

DOC233154-A.doc

Calibration sheet no. H31-16490076

## **CALIBRATION SHEET**

Instrument Serial number Manufacturer Test date

**WXTPTU** M4550070 Vaisala Oyj, Finland 13 November 2016

This test report certifies that the instrument was thoroughly tested and inspected, and found to meet its published test limits when it was shipped from Vaisala.

Calibration results Test phase of calibration process	Reference value	Observed value	Difference*	Uncertainty**
Pressure	1079.2	1079.1	-0.1	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	899.5	899.5	0	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	799.3	799.3	0	± 0.4 hPa
Pressure	599	599	0	± 0.4 hPa
Temperature	59.6	59.6	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-5.9	-5.9	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-32.7	-32.7	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	24.9	24.9	0	± 0.2 °C
Temperature	-52.3	-52.3	0	± 0.2 °C
Relative humidity	29.9	29.9	0	± 2 %RH
Relative humidity	58.4	58.4	0	± 2 %RH
Relative humidity	92.2	92.2	0	± 3 %RH

\*The test points for error values are polynomial fitting curve fitting points.

\*\*The calibration uncertainty given at 95 % confidence level, k = 2

#### Traceability

The working standards for pressure and temperature are calibrated at Vaisala Measurement Standards Laboratory (MSL) by using MSL working standards traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, USA). The relative humidity values are calculated from measured temperature and dew-point temperature values. The dew-point working standards are traceable to the Finnish National Humidity Laboratory (MIKES).

Signature Technician

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Vaisala.

Doc218938-A



Aercoustics Engineering Ltd.Tel: 416-249-33611004 Middlegate Road, Suite 1100Fax 416-249-3613Mississauga, ON L4Y 0G1aercoustics.com

Appendix H I-Audit Checklist

Appendix F7: I-Audit checklist Wind Energy Project – Screening Document – Acoustic Audit Report – Immission Information Required in the Acoustic Audit Report – Immission

Item	Description	Complete?	Comment
1	Did the Sound level Meter meet the Type 1 Sound level meter	~	
	requirements according to the IEC standard 61672-1 Sound level		
	Meters, Part 1: Specifications? Section D2.1.1		
2	Was the complete sound measurement system, including any	~	
	recording, data logging or computing systems calibrated immediately		
	before and after the measurement session at one or more frequencies		
	using an acoustic calibrator on the microphone (must not exceed		
3	+0.5dB)? Section D2.1.3 Are valid calibration certificate(s) of the noise monitoring equipment and	√	
3	calibration traceable to a qualified laboratory? Is the validity duration of		
	the calibration stated for each item of equipment? Section D2.3		
	the calibration stated for each item of equipment? Section D2.3		
4	Was the predictable worst case parameters such as high wind shear	√	
	and wind direction toward the Receptor considered? Section D3.2		
5	Is there a Wind Rose showing the wind directions at the site? Section	~	
	D7 (1e)		
6	Did the results cover a wind speed range of at least 4-7 m/s as outlined	~	
	in section D 3.8.?		
7	Was the weather report during the measurement campaign included in	~	
-	the report? Section D7 (1c)	√	
8	Did the audit state there was compliance with the limits at each wind	Ý	
9	speed category? Section D6	√	
9	Are pictures of the noise measurement setup near Point of reception	·	
10	provided? Section D3.3.2 & D3.4 Was there justification of the Receptor location choice(s) prior to	√	
10	commencement of the I-Audit? Section D4.1		
11	Was there sufficient valid data for different wind speeds? Section D5.2 #	~	
	3		
12	Was the turbine (operational) specific information during the	√	
	measurement campaign in tabular form (i.e. wind speed at hub height,		
	anemometer wind speed at 10 m height, air temperature and pressure		
	and relative humidity) Section D3.7		
13	Were all the calculated standard deviations at all relevant integer wind	~	
	speeds provided? Section D7 (2d)		
14	Compliance statement	~	
15	All data included in an Excel spreadsheet	~	
16	If deviations from standard; was justification of the deviations provided	0	No Deviations



Aercoustics Engineering Ltd. Tel: 416-249-3361 1004 Middlegate Road, Suite 1100 Fax 416-249-3613 Mississauga, ON L4Y 0G1

aercoustics.com

# Appendix I M1153 Distance Correction Calculation

## Niagara Wind Farm Project # 16227

M1153 - Monitor to Receptor Distance Correction

M1153		R1153		
Monitor Coordinates	17T 620902mE 4749669mN	Receptor Coordinates	17T 621067mE 4749725mN	
Source ID	Partial Impact at Monitor [dBA]	Source ID	Partial Impact at Receptor [dBA]	
T20	40.3	T20	37.4	
Т96	30.8	Т96	31.9	
T63	27.3	T63	28.2	
T99	25.8	T62	25.6	
T62	24.8	T99	24.7	
T05	24.6	T05	24.4	
T46	22.4	T46	23.3	
T47	22.2	T47	22.8	
T45	19.6	T45	20.2	
T16	17.9	T16	18.6	
T14	17.7	T14	18.2	
T44	16.5	T44	17	
T48 T43	15.9	T48 T43	16.5	
	15.3		15.8	
T84 T42	15.1 15	T22	15.4	
T22	14.9	T84 T89	15.4 15.1	
T22 T89	14.9	T42	15.1	
T21	14.0	T21	14.6	
T98	13.8	T61	13.7	
T61	13.3	T98	13.7	
T65	11	T65	11.3	
T82	10	T19	11.5	
T19	9.9	T49	10	
T49	9.6	T82	10	
T13	8.5	T13	8.6	
T23	8	T23	8.4	
T12	7.9	T12	8	
GREPT58	7.8	T24	7.9	
GREPT60	7.6	T91	7.7	
GREPT61	7.6	GREPT58	7.4	
T24	7.6	GREPT60	7.2	
T91	7.6	GREPT61	7.2	
GREPT62	7.1	T41	7	
GREPT57	6.9	GREPT62	6.7	
T41	6.9	MH05	6.7	
GREPT59	6.6	GREPT57	6.5	
MH05	6.5	T72	6.4	
T72	6.3	GREPT59	6.2	
MH02	6.1	MH02	6.2	
RFT	5.1	MH04	5.1	
MH04	4.9	RFT	5	
ST2	3.8	ST2	4.1	
T37	2.7	T37	2.8	
MH06	2.2	MH06	2.3	
WF01	1.5	WF01	1.8	
WF02	1.1	WF02	1.3	
WF03	0.9	WF03	1.2	
MH01	0.5	MH01	0.6	
MH03	0.2	MH03	0.4	
		WF05 WF04	0.2 0.1	
Overall Level	41.6	Overall Level	39.9	
	41.0		J7.7	